IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
V.) CRIM. CASE NO. 1:21-cr-107-ECM
ANDREW T. NUNEZ)

MEMORANDUM OPINION and ORDER

Now pending before the court are the Government's motion to continue trial (doc. 29) and Defendant Andrew Nunez's motion to continue trial (doc. 32). Jury selection and trial are presently set on the term of court commencing on July 12, 2021. For the reasons set forth below, the court will grant a continuance of the trial pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7).

While the trial judge enjoys great discretion when determining whether to grant a continuance, the court is limited by the requirements of the Speedy Trial Act. 18 U.S.C. § 3161; *United States v. Stitzer*, 785 F.2d 1506, 1516 (11th Cir. 1986). The Act provides in part:

"In any case in which a plea of not guilty is entered, the trial of a defendant charged in an information or indictment with the commission of an offense shall commence within seventy days from the filing date (and making public) of the information or indictment, or from the date the defendant has appeared before a judicial officer of the court in which such charge is pending, whichever date last occurs."

18 U.S.C. § 3161(c)(1).

The Act excludes, however, certain delays from the seventy-day period, including delays based on "findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh

the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial." 18 U.S.C. §

3161(h)(7)(A). In determining whether to grant a continuance under § 3161(h)(7), the court

"shall consider," among other factors, whether denial of a continuance would likely "result

in a miscarriage of justice," or "would deny counsel for the defendant . . . the reasonable

time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence."

§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), (iv).

Counsel for the Government represents to the Court that there is a pending motion

for a mental evaluation that raises issues with the Defendant's competency to stand trial.

The parties agree that the issue of the Defendant's competency must be resolved before

proceeding. After careful consideration, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by

granting a continuance of this trial outweigh the best interest of the public and the

Defendant in a speedy trial. Thus, for good cause, it is

ORDERED that the motions to continue (doc. 29 and 32) are GRANTED, and jury

selection and trial are CONTINUED from July 12, 2021 to the Court's next Southern

Division trial term set to commence on October 4, 2021 at 10:00 a.m. in Montgomery,

Alabama. All deadlines tied to the trial date are adjusted accordingly.

The United States Magistrate Judge shall conduct a pretrial conference prior to the

October trial term.

Done this 7th day of July, 2021.

/s/Emily C. Marks

EMILY C. MARKS

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE